

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/506,579		STURZEBECKER ET AL	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Paul A. Zucker		1621	

All Participants:

(1) Paul A. Zucker.

(2) Karen L. Elbing.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

32 and 39

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Paul A. Zucker/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Ms. Elbing to indicate that the application could be put in condition for allowance by an Examiner's Amendment of the form set forth below which deletes a compound lacking antecedent basis and the method which relies for support upon it. Ms. Elbing agreed to authorize an Examiner's Amendment of the form set forth as follows: The claims have been amended as follows:

Claim 32, line 7: The third listed compound has been deleted.

Claim 39 has been cancelled..